



AutoMated Vessels and Supply Chain Optimisation for Sustainable Short SEa Shipping

D.3.4: Control architecture for robotic container handling system

Document Identification			
Status	Final	Due Date	Thursday, 30 June 2022
Version	1.0	Submission Date	30/06/2022
Related WP	WP3	Document Reference	D.3.4
Related Deliverable(s)	D3.3, D3.5	Dissemination Level	CO
Lead Participant	MCGR	Document Type:	R
Contributors	TNO	Lead Author	Janne Suominen
		Reviewers	Konstantinos Louzis, NTUA Nick Labrosse, TRELLEBORG



Document Information

List of Contributors		
First Name	Last Name	Partner
Janne	Suominen	MCGR
Jonas	Renlund	MCGR
Tommy	Mäkelä	MCGR

Document History			
Version	Date	Change editors	Changes
0.1	28/03/2022	Jonas Renlund	First draft
0.2	23/05/2022	Jonas Renlund/ Tommy Mäkelä	2 nd draft
0.3	30/05/2022	Janne Suominen	3 rd draft
0.4	22/06/2022	Jonas Renlund Tommy Mäkelä	4 th draft (after review)
0.5	23/06/2022	Jonas Renlund Tommy Mäkelä	Final internal review of draft
1.0	28/06/2022	Jonas Renlund	Final version to be submitted

Quality Control		
Role	Who (Partner short name)	Approval Date
Deliverable leader	MCGR	23/06/2022
Quality manager	NTUA	25/06/2022
Project Coordinator	NTUA	30/06/2022



Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	7
1. Introduction	9
Purpose of the document	9
Intended readership	9
Document Structure	9
2. Robotic container handling system	9
Goals for Robotic Crane development.....	9
Demonstration	10
Assumptions and limitations for this project.....	11
Robotic Container Handling System	11
The robotic crane system	13
Standard crane functions	13
Redesigned functions.....	13
New functionality.....	14
Operational Environment	16
Test facility	16
Hazard and risk analysis for the test environment	17
System Components	18
Test Crane	18
Robotic crane	20
Swing Defeater.....	21
Active Rotation Control (ARC).....	21
Spreader	22
Sensors	23
Transmission	24
Sensor resolution for position measurement	24
Crane network.....	25
Modes of Operation.....	25
Users and Personnel	26
Challenges.....	26



D.3.4: Control architecture for robotic container handling system

Development.....	26
3. Simulation (digital twin/C-how)	27
4. System architecture	29
Physical locations.....	30
High level design	31
Crane system state machine	31
System components	31
Functional design	33
Detailed design	36
Autonomous Operations state machine	36
Subsystem components	38
Functional design	40
Exceptional handling	50
5. Conclusions	52



List of Tables

N/A

List of Figures

Figure 1: Data flow for the "Robotic Container Handling System"	11
Figure 2: Spreader	15
Figure 3: Test facility in Örnsköldsvik	16
Figure 4: Test crane	18
Figure 5 : Technical data.....	19
Figure 6 : Power consumption for the test crane.....	19
Figure 7: Robotic crane.....	20
Figure 8: Sensor suite	20
Figure 9: CCU cabinet	20
Figure 10: LIDAR for anti pendulation control	21
Figure 12: Example of ARC	21
Figure 11: Power swivel (rotates the container).....	21
Figure 13: BROMMA spreader.....	22
Figure 14: Bromma spreader with added cameras	23
Figure 15: Communication networks in robotic crane.....	25
Figure 16 - Screen shot examples from C-how video streams and design tool	28
Figure 18: The digital twin, C-how.....	29
Figure 18: Highlighted states where the robotic container-handling crane is operational. ...	30
Figure 19: Operational states of the Robotic Container-Handling Crane.	31
Figure 20: Components in the complete system on a high level.	32
Figure 21: Functional design of the state System startup and check.....	33
Figure 22: Functional design of the state Unparking crane	34
Figure 23: Functional design of the state Park crane	35
Figure 24: Operational states of the Robotic Container-Handling Crane with the Autonomous operations state highlighted.	36
Figure 25: The inner state machine of the Autonomous Operations state.	37
Figure 26: Interesting subsystems of the complete system and their relationships.	39
Figure 27: Functional design of the state Fetch Load Handling Sequence	41
Figure 28: Functional design of the state Idle	42
Figure 29: Functional design of the state Scan environment.....	43
Figure 30: Functional design of the state Identify container	44
Figure 31: Functional design of the state Move to location	46
Figure 32: Functional design of the state Execute load handling sequence	47
Figure 33: Functional design of the state Move container	49
Figure 34: Examples of transitions to exceptional state during the Autonomous Operations state.....	50



List of Acronyms

Abbreviation / acronym	Description
EC	European Commission
3D	3 dimensional
3DWI	3D world interpreter
AC	Alternating current
AEGIS	Advanced, efficient and green intermodal system
ARC	Active rotation control
CAN open	communication protocol and device profile specification for embedded systems used in automation
CC3000	Crane operation system
CCU	Crane control unit
C-How	Virtual reality software
D1.1	Deliverable number 1 belonging to WP 1
DSS	Deep sea shipping
GLE	Wire luffing onboard electric crane
HARA	Hazard and risk analysis
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
IOSS	Intelligent Operator Support System
IP	Internet protocol
ISO	International organisation for standardisation
LIDAR	Light detecting and ranging
LMS	Lifting and machinery system
MOSES	AutoMated Vessels and Supply Chain Optimisation for Sustainable Short SEa Shipping
OCR	Container identification number
PC	Personal computer
R&D	Research and development
RCHS	Robotic Container Handling System
RGB	Red green blue

D.3.4: Control architecture for robotic container handling system

Abbreviation / acronym	Description
SCC	Shore control centre
SSI	Synchronous serial interface
SSS	Short se shipping
SWL	Safe working load
TRL	Technology readiness level
VCOP	Voyage/Container optimisation platform
VFD	Variable frequency drive
WP	Work Package



Executive Summary

The EC supports the development of short sea and inland waterway transportation to reduce road congestion and offer more environmentally friendly transportation networks. The MOSES project has expressed the ambition to design innovative feeder vessels to serve the purpose. The development of MOSES innovations is concentrated on two geographical areas in the Mediterranean region, namely the Greek use case and the Spanish use case. These use cases are described in detail on “D2.2. MOSES Use Cases and scenarios “. To increase the possibilities for expanding container supply chain to ports where the infrastructure is not supporting lifting containers from/to vessel, the feeder vessel will be outfitted with a robotic container-handling system that is autonomous, i.e., self-sufficient in terms of (un)loading containerised cargo.

Because the on-board robotic crane will be able to operate autonomously, i.e. does not need an onsite or on-board crane driver, it is a key innovation for enabling a short-sea container supply chain completely independent from small port infrastructure. In short, the robotic container handling system will be designed as a fully self-supporting system that does not need any local help except for a quay for berthing and for placing the containers, while maintaining safety of operations.

Together with partners like BROMMA and TNO, MacGregor’s contribution in MOSES are:

- Develop and demonstrate, to TRL5, a Robotic Container Handling System (RCHS) capable of handling standard containers
- Shore Control interfaces to landside infrastructure and vessel loading computer
- Creating a 3D-world model, including sensor suite, for the robotic container handling system
- Virtual reality assisted remote supervisory control of the robotic container handling system

This document is the MOSES deliverable D3.4, “Control architecture for robotic container handling system”, and the fourth deliverable in Work Package 3 of the project. The deliverable is closely connected to “D.3.3: 3D world model for Container Handling System” and “D3.5: Remote supervisory control for robotic container handling system”. The content of these three deliverables are forming together the Robotic container handling system. Having said that, the objective of this deliverable



D.3.4: Control architecture for robotic container handling system

is to further describe, how the information flow of data for autonomous quay operations for container handling is arranged for the onboard robotic crane.

In order to fulfil this goal, the control architecture is designed to be modular. It consists of the following components: i) Crane control unit (CCU), which is responsible for data-processing for autonomous operations, ii) Crane Control unit (CC3000), responsible of giving crane its commands as it would do in case of manual operations, iii) Onboard control unit, responsible of collecting the data from various crane systems and also act as a link between the system and outside world, iv) Bromma spreader and built in cameras, v) 3D-world interpreter (3DWI), vi) crane sensors (jib top camera and LIDAR scanner), vii) VFD drive system and cabin display. All of this is connected to the shore control centre through MacGregor gateway device, so that it can be monitored within IOSS (Intelligent Operator Support System)

This document describes the current crane system and the additional crane functions required by the MOSES project, which will be used during the demonstration. Requirements are specified in the *“D2.4: Specifications and requirements for MOSES innovations”*. In addition, the document will also describe the requirements for control architecture of the electromechanical crane system from a practical point of view so that the reasoning of the technical details can be understood.

In the architectural description, the connections towards 3D-world interpreter and remote-control systems are described but not in detail, as they are described in deliverables D3.3 and D3.5 respectively.

