



## AutoMated Vessels and Supply Chain Optimisation for Sustainable Short SEa Shipping

### D.3.2: Container Feeder Operation Simulation Results

Document Identification			
<b>Status</b>	Final	<b>Due Date</b>	
<b>Version</b>	1.1	<b>Submission Date</b>	26/10/2023
<b>Related WP</b>	WP3	<b>Document Reference</b>	D.3.2
<b>Related Deliverable(s)</b>	D2.3, D2.4, D3.1, D7.3	<b>Dissemination Level</b>	CO
<b>Lead Participant</b>	MARIN	<b>Document Type:</b>	R
<b>Contributors</b>	MARIN, NTUA	<b>Lead Author</b>	Hans Cozijn (MARIN)
		<b>Reviewers</b>	Elias Kotsidis (ESI), Janne Suominen (MacGregor)



## Document Information

List of Contributors		
First Name	Last Name	Partner
Hans	Cozijn	MARIN
Ed	van Daalen	MARIN
Gerco	Hagesteijn	MARIN
Konstantinos	Louzis	NTUA
Nikolaos	Themelis	NTUA
Marios	Koimtzoglou	NTUA

Document History			
Version	Date	Change editors	Changes
0.1	11/02/2022	Hans Cozijn	First version TOC
0.2	24/06/2022	Hans Cozijn Konstantinos Louzis	Version for MOSES partner input
0.3	18/07/2022	Hans Cozijn	MARIN working version
0.4	06/09/2022	Hans Cozijn Marios Koimtzoglou Nikolaos Themelis	MARIN and NTUA working version
0.5	02/12/2022	Hans Cozijn	MARIN working version
0.6	19/12/2022	Hans Cozijn Gerco Hagesteijn Giorgio Iavicoli	MARIN working version
0.7	17/03/2023	Ed van Daalen Hans Cozijn	MARIN working version
0.8	04/05/2023	Ed van Daalen Hans Cozijn	MARIN working version
0.9	24/08/2023	Ed van Daalen Hans Cozijn	MARIN draft version
1.0	29/09/2023	Ed van Daalen Hans Cozijn	MARIN draft version for review
1.1	13/10/2023	Ed van Daalen Hans Cozijn	Final version to be submitted

<b>Quality Control</b>		
<b>Role</b>	<b>Who (Partner short name)</b>	<b>Approval Date</b>
Deliverable leader	MARIN	13/10/2023
Quality manager	NTUA	13/10/2023
Project Coordinator	NTUA	26/10/2023

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>12</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Document .....	12
1.2 Intended Readership .....	12
1.3 Document Structure .....	12
<b>2. Mission, Objectives and Requirement Analysis</b> .....	<b>14</b>
2.1 Container Feeder Mission .....	14
2.2 Objectives .....	17
2.3 System Requirements and Testing .....	17
2.3.1 General .....	18
2.3.2 User Requirements T3.2 (MoSCoW) .....	18
2.3.3 Functional Requirements .....	20
2.3.4 System and Subsystem Requirements .....	20
2.3.5 Component Requirements .....	21
2.3.6 Performance Evaluation .....	22
2.3.7 Key Performance Indicators and Success Indicators .....	23
2.3.8 General Performance Indicators .....	24
2.3.9 Specific Performance Indicators .....	25
<b>3. Technical Approach</b> .....	<b>30</b>
3.1 Vessel Autonomy High-Level System Lay-out .....	30
3.2 Relation to Demonstration Pilot 2 (T7.3) .....	31
<b>4. Simulation Models</b> .....	<b>34</b>
4.1 Simulation Model Structure .....	34
4.2 Container Feeder .....	35
4.2.1 Equations of Motion .....	35
4.2.2 Hydrostatic Forces .....	36
4.2.3 Wave Radiation Forces .....	36
4.2.4 Extra Roll Damping Forces .....	37
4.2.5 Manoeuvring Forces .....	37
4.2.6 First Order Wave Forces .....	39
4.2.7 Second Order Wave Forces .....	39
4.2.8 Interpolation of Wave Excitation Forces .....	39

4.2.9	<i>Wind Forces</i> .....	41
4.2.10	<i>Thruster Forces</i> .....	41
4.2.11	<i>New Aspects</i> .....	42
4.3	<i>Surroundings</i> .....	42
4.3.1	<i>Generic Port Model</i> .....	43
4.3.2	<i>Port of Piraeus</i> .....	43
4.3.3	<i>Port of Mykonos</i> .....	47
4.3.4	<i>Wind</i> .....	50
4.3.5	<i>Waves</i> .....	52
4.3.6	<i>Current</i> .....	54
4.3.7	<i>New Aspects</i> .....	55
4.4	<i>Vehicle Control System</i> .....	55
4.4.1	<i>Autopilot Controller</i> .....	55
4.4.2	<i>Track Following Controller</i> .....	56
4.4.3	<i>Relative Dynamic Positioning Controller</i> .....	57
4.5	<i>Allocation Algorithm</i> .....	57
4.6	<i>Mission Execution</i> .....	58
4.6.1	<i>General</i> .....	58
4.6.2	<i>Operational States</i> .....	58
4.6.3	<i>State Transitions</i> .....	60
<b>5.</b>	<b><i>Simulation Results</i></b> .....	<b>62</b>
5.1	<i>Verification Simulations</i> .....	62
5.2	<i>Signal Processing</i> .....	63
5.3	<i>Mission Execution Simulations</i> .....	63
5.3.1	<i>Evaluation of SPIs for Transit Phases</i> .....	64
5.3.2	<i>Evaluation of SPIs for Docking and Undocking phases</i> .....	100
5.3.3	<i>Evaluation of SPIs for Docked Phases</i> .....	114
5.3.1	<i>Evaluation of SPIs for Roundtrip</i> .....	132
<b>6.</b>	<b><i>Conclusions</i></b> .....	<b>138</b>
	<b><i>References</i></b> .....	<b>140</b>
	<b><i>Annex 1: Software leaflets</i></b> .....	<b>142</b>
	<b><i>Annex 2: Container Feeder `Greek Case II`</i></b> .....	<b>152</b>
	<b><i>Annex 3: Signals from Simulations</i></b> .....	<b>168</b>

## List of Tables

<i>Table 1 : Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)</i> .....	23
<i>Table 2 : Success Indicators (SIs)</i> .....	24
<i>Table 3 : General performance evaluation criteria</i> .....	25
<i>Table 4 : Performance evaluation criteria for transit phases</i> .....	26
<i>Table 5 : Performance evaluation criteria for approaching and pre-docking phases</i> .....	27
<i>Table 6 : Performance evaluation criteria for docking and undocking phases</i> .....	28
<i>Table 7 : Performance evaluation criteria for docked phases</i> .....	29
<i>Table 8 : Performance evaluation criteria for roundtrip</i> .....	29
<i>Table 9 : System Modelling Approach</i> .....	31
<i>Table 10 : Overview of hydrodynamic databases</i> .....	36
<i>Table 11 : Extra roll damping coefficients</i> .....	37
<i>Table 12 : Applied boundary values for wave load interpolations</i> .....	41
<i>Table 13 : Trelleborg SuperCone Fender: deflection, energy absorption, reaction force</i> .....	46
<i>Table 14 : Trelleborg Cylindrical Fender: deflection, energy absorption, reaction force</i> .....	49
<i>Table 15 : Probability of exceedance of wind speed at port of Piraeus</i> .....	50
<i>Table 16 : Probability of exceedance of wind speed at port of Piraeus, interpolated values</i> ..	51
<i>Table 17 : Probability of exceedance of wind speed at port of Mykonos</i> .....	51
<i>Table 18 : Probability of exceedance of wind speed at port of Mykonos, interpolated values</i>	52
<i>Table 19 : Probability of exceedance of significant wave height at port of Piraeus, with most likely peak wave period</i> .....	53
<i>Table 20 : Probability of exceedance of significant wave height at port of Piraeus, with most likely peak wave period, interpolated values</i> .....	53
<i>Table 21 : Probability of exceedance of significant wave height at port of Mykonos, with most likely peak wave period</i> .....	54
<i>Table 22 : Probability of exceedance of significant wave height at port of Mykonos, with most likely peak wave period, interpolated values</i> .....	54
<i>Table 23 : Operational modes with controllers, allocators and phases</i> .....	58
<i>Table 24 : Mission execution simulations</i> .....	64
<i>Table 25 : Results for transit phases</i> .....	66
<i>Table 26 : Results for docking / undocking phases</i> .....	101
<i>Table 27 : Results for docked phases</i> .....	115
<i>Table 28 : Results for roundtrip</i> .....	132
<i>Table 29 : Container Feeder Vessel Main Particulars and Loading Conditions</i> .....	153
<i>Table 30 : Azimuthing thruster properties</i> .....	157
<i>Table 31 : Tunnel thruster properties</i> .....	159
<i>Table 32 : Motion sensor properties</i> .....	161
<i>Table 33 : Wind sensor properties</i> .....	162
<i>Table 34 : Distance sensor properties</i> .....	162
<i>Table 35 : Dimensionless wind coefficients</i> .....	163
<i>Table 36 : Container motion sensor properties</i> .....	164
<i>Table 37 : Unified Manoeuvring Coefficient Model</i> .....	166
<i>Table 38 : Signals logged during time domain simulations</i> .....	170

## List of Figures

Figure 1 : Container Feeder Vessel - Greek Case II .....	14
Figure 2 : Container feeder route as proposed in D2.3 for the Greek business case .....	15
Figure 3 : Roundtrip between Piraeus and Mykonos .....	15
Figure 4 : Schematic representation of container feeder roundtrip .....	16
Figure 5 : Operational states during roundtrip .....	16
Figure 6 : Requirement levels and associated test methods (V model) .....	18
Figure 7 : High-level system lay-out of simulation models .....	30
Figure 8 : Time-domain simulation model structure .....	34
Figure 9 : Simulation model set-up .....	35
Figure 10 : Visualisation of flow pattern around vessel hull .....	38
Figure 11 : Example of manoeuvring model coefficient fit .....	38
Figure 12 : Ship resistance curve with additional coefficient $X_u u vs$ .....	38
Figure 13 : Definition of quay and port reference radius .....	40
Figure 14 : Example of interpolation factor $f$ .....	40
Figure 15 : Port of Piraeus with west side of Pier 3 in upper right corner .....	44
Figure 16 : Port of Piraeus, 3D view from simulation .....	44
Figure 17 : Port of Piraeus, 2D view (source: Google Maps) .....	45
Figure 18 : Port of Piraeus - arrival at west side of Pier 3 (source: Google Maps) .....	45
Figure 19 : Trelleborg SuperCone Fender: reaction force & energy absorption vs deflection ..	46
Figure 20: Port of Mykonos with Berth 7A in the lower-right corner .....	47
Figure 21 : Port of Mykonos, 3D view from simulation .....	47
Figure 22 : Port of Mykonos, 2D view (source: Google Maps) .....	48
Figure 23 : Port of Mykonos, container feeder at Berth 7A (source: Google Maps) .....	48
Figure 24 : Trelleborg Cylindrical Fender: reaction force & energy absorption vs deflection ..	49
Figure 25 : Wind scatter diagram at port of Piraeus .....	50
Figure 26 : Wind scatter diagram at port of Mykonos .....	51
Figure 27 : Wave scatter diagram at port of Piraeus .....	52
Figure 28 : Wave scatter diagram at port of Mykonos .....	54
Figure 29 : Scheme used to smoothly transition between controllers .....	56
Figure 30 : Guidance-Navigation-Control framework used for under-actuated vessels .....	56
Figure 31 : Mission state diagram .....	59
Figure 32 : Vessel tracks in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	68
Figure 33 : Vessel tracks in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	69
Figure 34: Propulsion power in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	70
Figure 35 : Propulsion power in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	71
Figure 36: Energy consumption in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	72
Figure 37 : Energy consumption in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	73
Figure 38: Speed in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	74
Figure 39 : Speed in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	75
Figure 40 : Heading deviation in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	76
Figure 41 : Heading deviation in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	77
Figure 42 : Track deviation in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	78
Figure 43 : Track deviation in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	79
Figure 44 : Roll angle in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	80
Figure 45 : Roll angle in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	81

Figure 46 : EGA at bridge in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	82
Figure 47 : EGA at bridge in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	83
Figure 48 : MSDV at bridge in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	84
Figure 49 : MSDV at bridge in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	85
Figure 50 : MSI at bridge in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	86
Figure 51 : MSI at bridge in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	87
Figure 52 : Transverse acceleration at bridge in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	88
Figure 53 : Transverse acceleration at bridge in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	89
Figure 54 : Vertical acceleration at bridge in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	90
Figure 55 : Vertical acceleration at bridge in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	91
Figure 56 : Vertical acceleration at bow in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	92
Figure 57 : Vertical acceleration at bow in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	93
Figure 58 : Max. longitudinal acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C ..	94
Figure 59 : Max. longitudinal acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C ..	95
Figure 60 : Max. transverse acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C ..	96
Figure 61 : Max. transverse acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C ..	97
Figure 62 : Max. vertical acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	98
Figure 63 : Max. vertical acceleration at containers in transit phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	99
Figure 64 : Propulsion power in docking and undocking phases: Case 2A .....	102
Figure 65 : Propulsion power in docking and undocking phases: Case 2B .....	103
Figure 66 : Propulsion power in docking and undocking phases: Case 2C .....	104
Figure 67 : Energy consumption in docking and undocking phases: Case 2A .....	105
Figure 68 : Energy consumption in docking and undocking phases: Case 2B .....	106
Figure 69 : Energy consumption in docking and undocking phases: Case 2C .....	107
Figure 70 : Max. fender compression in docking and undocking phases: Case 2A .....	108
Figure 71 : Max. fender compression in docking and undocking phases: Case 2B .....	109
Figure 72 : Max. fender compression in docking and undocking phases: Case 2C .....	110
Figure 73 : Speed in docking and undocking phases: Case 2A .....	111
Figure 74 : Speed in docking and undocking phases: Case 2B .....	112
Figure 75 : Speed in docking and undocking phases: Case 2C .....	113
Figure 76 : Propulsion power in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	116
Figure 77 : Propulsion power in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	117
Figure 78 : Energy consumption in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	118
Figure 79 : Energy consumption in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	119
Figure 80 : Heave motion in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	120
Figure 81 : Heave motion in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	121
Figure 82 : Roll motion in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	122
Figure 83 : Roll motion in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	123
Figure 84 : Transverse acceleration at bridge in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	124
Figure 85 : Transverse acceleration at bridge in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	125
Figure 86 : Vertical acceleration at bridge in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	126
Figure 87 : Vertical acceleration at bridge in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	127
Figure 88 : Maximum fender compression in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	128
Figure 89 : Maximum fender compression in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	129
Figure 90 : Vessel speed in docked phases: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	130
Figure 91 : Vessel speed in docked phases: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	131
Figure 92 : Propulsion power during roundtrip: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C .....	133
Figure 93 : Propulsion power during roundtrip: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C .....	134



*Figure 94 : Energy consumption during roundtrip: Cases 2A, 2B, 2C ..... 135*  
*Figure 95 : Energy consumption during roundtrip: Cases 3A, 3B, 3C ..... 136*  
*Figure 96 : Container Feeder Vessel Main Plan (Original Hull Design – H1 from [1])..... 155*  
*Figure 97 : Container Feeder Vessel Lines Plan (Updated Hull Design – H3)..... 156*  
*Figure 98 : Container locations ..... 165*

## List of Abbreviations

abbreviation	description
AST	Advanced Shipping Technologies
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
COLREGS	Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea
D3.2	Deliverable 3.2
DNV	Det Norske Veritas
DP	Dynamic Positioning
EC	European Commission
EGA	Effective Gravity Angle
FV	Feeder Vessel
IMO	International Maritime Organization
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
MARIN	Maritime Research Institute Netherlands
MoSCoW	Must have, Should have, Could have, Won't have (analysis method)
MSDV	Motion Sickness Dose Value
MSI	Motion Sickness Index
N.A.	not applicable
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NTUA	National Technical University of Athens
OCIMF	Oil Companies International Marine Forum
PIANC	Permanent International Commission for Navigation Congresses
QTF	Quadratic Transfer Function
RAO	Response Amplitude Operator
RMS	Root Mean Squared
SGISC	Second Generation Intact Stability Criteria
SI	Success Indicator
STANAG	Standardization Agreement (NATO)
T3.2	Task 3.2
TEU	Twenty-foot Equivalent Unit
UML	Unified Modelling Language
WP	Work Package
XMF	eXtensible Modelling Framework

## *Executive Summary*

This is the technical report for T3.2 “Feeder vessel simulation and autonomous operation investigation (M18-M24)”.

T3.2 was led by MARIN. The report D3.2 was prepared by MARIN, with various contributions from NTUA. In addition, technical discussions with NTUA, DANAOS, DNV and AST during project meetings are reflected in the contents of this report.

T3.2 will be used to demonstrate through simulations the innovative features of the developed feeder vessel concepts and assess the benefits of its potential autonomous operation within the context of the SSS part of the container supply chain through a final set of voyage/port entrance and mooring manoeuvre.

T3.2 will use the selected concept design from T3.1 and the autonomous mooring and remote-controlled port entrance functionality of the SSS feeder. For the latter it will lean on work performed already in the field of vessel autonomous navigation.

T3.2 will devise the conceptual set-up and interaction of the various planning levels and related systems needed to cope with the mid-term environment and voyage planning and the short-term track keeping, collision avoidance and close-in mooring planning.

The work will be conducted by using computer simulations and will use the voyage simulations framework used in T3.1 for both feeders to assess energy requirement vs schedule keeping. This task is the preparation and reference for the pilot demonstration in T7.3.

The results seem to justify the statement that the T3.2 objectives are achieved:

- Investigate, implement and test autonomous operation of the feeder vessel, using time-domain simulations, with a focus on mission execution.
- Demonstrate a complete roundtrip between a main port and a local port, using the implemented time-domain simulation models.
- Prepare the vehicle control and vessel autonomy for future use in the Pilot Demonstration 2 (T7.3), where the simulated container feeder vessel is replaced by a physical scale model of the ship.